

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Tetent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Des. 1440 Alexandra Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspig.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/098,714	03/15/2002	Kevin D. MacLean	NMTC-0770 3043			
30185	7590 12/23/2005		EXAMINER			
	CAL TECHNOLOGIES  (ARD PARK, REG. NO. 4	ALHIJA, SAIF A				
	JGHAN & FLEMING LI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
2820 FIFTH	STREET	2128				
DAVIS, CA	95616-2914	DATE MAILED: 12/23/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/098	3,714	: :	MACLEAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			ner	:	Art Unit				
		Saif A.	Alhija	•	2128				
The M Period for Reply	IAILING DATE of this commun I	ication appears on	the cover sh	neet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHICHEVER - Extensions of till after SIX (6) MC - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply receive	IED STATUTORY PERIOD F R IS LONGER, FROM THE N me may be available under the provisions DNTHS from the mailing date of this comr reply is specified above, the maximum st within the set or extended period for reply yed by the Office later than three months erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. atutory period will apply ar will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMI be event, however, and will expire SIX application to be	MUNICATION , may a reply be time (6) MONTHS from to come ABANDONED	ely filed he mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status				i					
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) file	ed on 15 March 20	02.	•					
,	• •	2b)⊠ This action i							
<i>'</i> —		•—		: al matters, pro:	secution as to the	e merits is			
<u>-</u>	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of C	·	panta	<b></b>						
•									
•	s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
· <u> </u>	s) is/are allowed.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.								
,	s) is/are objected to.	-4:							
8) Claim(	s) are subject to restri	ction and/or electio	n requireme	ent.					
Application Pap	ers	d	18.	:					
9)⊠ The spe	ecification is objected to by th	ne Examiner. 🏒	101						
10)⊠ The dra	awing(s) filed on <u>15 March 20</u>	<u>02</u> is/are: a) <b>⊉</b> acc	cepted or b)	objected to	by the Examiner	•.			
Applica	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replace	ement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is red	quired if the d	rawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
<del></del>									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)				•					
	erences Cited (PTO-892)			erview Summary					
	tsperson's Patent Drawing Review (			per No(s)/Mail Da tice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PT)	O-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/10/02, 7/23/02.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:									

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/098,714

Art Unit: 2128

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-35 have been presented for examination.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 10 December 2002 and 23 July 2002 are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the Examiner has considered the IDS' as to the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 11-14, 16-18, 21-24, 26-28, and 31-35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a) The claims state a method involving an "if" statement. It is unclear what the method will do when the "if" statement is not satisfied. According to Claim 1, the first step of the method is to "determine if the target cell is similar to a preceding cell", after which certain steps are performed. However, the claim does not address the issue of what the method will do if the target cell is not similar to a preceding cell. This leaves the claim ambiguous as to its result in situations where the cells are not similar since the rest of claim only addresses the result of a positive comparison.
- b) The claims also state a target cell, but do not state how the target cell is chosen. There is no reference to how the target cell is in fact chosen to be the target cell. The process of "determining" a target cell is ambiguous. The claims also state a target cell, but do not state which cell is the target cell. If a target cell is considered the first cell, then it is unclear how an immediately preceding cell would be

chosen. This leaves the claim ambiguous as to its result in situations where the method analyzes a cell that did not have a preceding cell.

# Claim Interpretation

4. Claims 1, 11, 21, 31, and 32 contain the statement "...so that a simulated layout of a solution for the target cell matches a desired layout for the target cell." This statement carries no patentable weight, as it is an intended use of the method.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Cobb
  "Streamlined IC Mask Layout Optical and Process Correction Through Correction Reuse", WO
  00/67074 A1, hereafter referred to as Cobb.

# **Regarding Claim 1:**

Cobb discloses A method for speeding up an iterative process that simulates and corrects a layout of a target cell within an integrated circuit so that a simulated layout of a solution for the target cell matches a desired layout for the target cell, the method comprising:

determining if the target cell is similar to a preceding cell for which there exists a previously calculated solution; (Page 6, Paragraph 1 and 2. Page 8, Paragraph 1 and 2. Figure 1 and 5)

Application/Control Number: 10/098,714

Art Unit: 2128

if the target cell is similar to the preceding cell, using the previously calculated solution for the

preceding cell as an initial input to the iterative process for the target cell; (Page 6, Paragraph 1 and 2.

Page 8, Paragraph 1 and 2. Figure 1 and 5)

and performing the iterative process on the target cell to produce the solution for the target cell.

Page 4

(Page 6, Paragraph 1 and 2. Page 8, Paragraph 1 and 2. Figure 1 and 5)

Regarding Claim 2:

Cobb discloses The method of claim 1, wherein the target cell is similar to the preceding cell if

the layout of the target cell matches the layout of the preceding cell, but the environment surrounding the

target cell differs from the environment surrounding the preceding cell. (Page 6, Paragraph 1 and 2.

Page 8, Paragraph 1 and 2. Figure 1 and 5)

Regarding Claim 3:

Cobb discloses The method of claim 2, wherein if the previously calculated solution for the

preceding cell is used as the initial input to the iterative process, the iterative process only operates on

features within a border region within the target cell that can be affected by the environment surrounding

the target cell, and ignores features within the target cell that are not located within the border region.

(Page 6, Paragraph 1 and 2. Page 8, Paragraph 1 and 2. Figure 1 and 5)

Regarding Claim 4:

Cobb discloses The method of claim 1, wherein the target cell is similar to the preceding cell if

the layout of the target cell matches the layout of the preceding cell, and the environment surrounding the

target cell matches the environment surrounding the preceding cell. (Page 6, Paragraph 1 and 2. Page 8,

Paragraph 1 and 2. Figure 1 and 5)

Application/Control Number: 10/098,714

Art Unit: 2128

Page 5

**Regarding Claim 5:** 

Cobb discloses The method of claim 1, wherein the simulated layout corresponds to a

manufactured result for the layout. (Page 6, Paragraph 1 and 2. Page 8, Paragraph 1 and 2. Figure 1

and 5)

Regarding Claim 6:

Cobb discloses The method of claim 1, wherein the target cell is similar to the preceding cell if

the layout of the target cell differs from the layout of the preceding cell by less than a pre-specified

amount. (Page 5, Top Paragraph, Lines 1-4. Figure 5)

Regarding Claim 7:

Cobb discloses The method of claim 1, wherein if the previously calculated solution for the

preceding cell is used as the initial input for the iterative process, and if the iterative process produces a

simulation result that differs significantly from the desired layout, the method further comprises restarting

the iterative process using the desired layout instead of the previously calculated solution as the initial

input to the iterative process. (Page 5, Top Paragraph. Figure 1 and 5)

Regarding Claim 8:

Cobb discloses The method of claim 1, wherein the iterative process involves repeatedly:

simulating a current solution for the target cell to produce a current simulated layout; (Page 5,

Top Paragraph. Figure 1 and 5)

Art Unit: 2128

if the current simulated layout differs from the desired layout by less than a pre-specified amount, accepting the current solution as a final solution for the target cell; (Page 5, Top Paragraph. Figure 1 and 5)

and otherwise, correcting the current solution to compensate for differences between the current simulated layout and the desired layout. (Page 5, Top Paragraph. Figure 1 and 5)

# Regarding Claim 9:

Cobb discloses The method of claim 1, wherein prior to considering the target cell, the method further comprises:

receiving a specification for the layout of the integrated circuit; (Page 4, Last Paragraph. Figure 1 and 5)

and dividing the layout into a plurality of cells, whereby each cell can be independently subjected to the iterative process. (Page 4, Last Paragraph. Figure 1 and 5)

# Regarding Claim 10:

Cobb discloses The method of claim 1, wherein the iterative process performs model-based optical proximity correction (OPC). (Abstract)

## Regarding Claims 11-20 and 21-30:

See rejection for Claims 1-10.

## Regarding Claims 31 - 33:

See rejection for Claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/098,714 Page 7

Art Unit: 2128

**Regarding Claim 34:** 

See rejection for Claim 2.

**Regarding Claim 35:** 

See rejection for Claim 6.

## Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record is not relied upon because it is cumulative to the applied rejection.

These references include:

- A) U.S. Patent No. 5,696,693, Aubel et al. This reference deals with chip layout planning.
- 7. All Claims are rejected.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saif A. Alhija whose telephone number is (571) 272-8635. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 11:00-7:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamini Shah can be reached on (571) 272-2279. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/098,714 Page 8

Art Unit: 2128

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SAA

December 9, 2005

PRINARY PROJECT CAN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER